

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/768,886	YANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinod Kumar	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Vinod Kumar.

(2) Angela Foster.

Date of Interview: 9/25/07

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 112 first and second paragraphs, 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims discussed:

6-10, 26, 28, 32, 36, 38, 42, 44, and 51-55.

Prior art documents discussed:

Wen et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)